

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,302	08/04/2003	Michael Frank	PIX-P-033	7966	
32566 PATENT LAW	7590 06/06/200 Z GROUP LLP	EXAMINER			
2635 NORTH FIRST STREET GILES, NICHOLAS G				CHOLAS G	
SUITE 223 SAN JOSE, CA	\ 95134	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/634,302	FRANK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NICHOLAS G. GILES	2622		

	NICHOLAS G. GILES	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		-	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	a extension fee
Calculations of time in any be doubland on united 3 / Orn (1945). The days been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	10 133003 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allength non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-31.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SR/08) Paper No/s)		
13. Other:	1 10/05/00/1 aper No(a).		
/David L. Ometz/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the multiplexor and demultiplexor of ont ead as buffers in Horii. The examiner points out that the data briefly passes through the units and therefore are temporarily remembered (buffered). Applicant argues that the zoom camera unit does not perform operations independently. The examiner points out that in 1:36-43 it can be seen that the system control unit 106 of zoom camera unit 150 controls the individual devices in the single-focus camera unit, even if the operation requests are sent from image processing unit or host unit. The image capture unit is still executing the operations on it's own. Applicant argues that switching from a multiplexor and demultiplexor to separate control and data buses eliminates the need for the multiplexing and demultiplexing units and therefore eliminates the buffers. The examiner points out that the replacement of the multiplexing and demultiplexing units with the separate data buses still requires interface connections at the connection points of buses eliminates the unit of the unit plexing units and the processing unit 20 of Ewedemi which in turn would act as the buffers since the data briefly passes through. Furthermore the memory 110 of Ewedemi can act as a buffer as well as memory interface port 120 as can be seen in paragraph 0033.